| COURT OF APPEAL OF THE S                                    |   |
|---|---|
| IN AND FOR THE FIRST A                                      | ·   |
| 000-  | MAY - 8 2000  |
|   | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA<br>COUNTY OF DEL NORTE |
| THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF )                                |   |
| CAMIFORNIA,   | COURT OF APPEAL                                     |
| Plaintiff and Respondent, )                                 | NO  |
| -vs-  | COUNTY OF DEL NORTE<br>NO. 97-268-X                 |
|   |   |
| ELLIOT SCOTT GRIZZLE, ) (H-10106)                           |   |
| j   |   |
| )   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
| Reporter's transcript                                       | of proceedings on MOTIONS,                          |
| 8 in the above-entitled action, before the Hon. ROBERT W.   |   |
| 9 WEIR, Judge, at the Del Norte County Courthouse, Crescent |   |
| City, California, on February 5                             | , 1999, reported by                                 |
| William C. Beard, RPR, CSR No. 10460                        |   |
|   |   |
| •   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
| 1   |   |
| COP   |   |
|   | IN AND FOR THE FIRST A                              |

## APPEARANCES FOR THE APPELLANT: FIRST APPELLATE PROJECT 730 Harrison Street, Suite 201 San Francisco, California 94107 For the Respondent: BILL LOCKYER Attorney General 50 Fremont Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94105-2239

```
1
              SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 2
                         COUNTY OF DEL NORTE
 3
                   HONORABLE ROBERT W. WEIR, JUDGE
 4
 5
 6
     THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
     CALIFORNIA,
 7
                Plaintiff,
 8
           -vs-
                                          Case No. 97-268-X
 9
     ELLIOT SCOTT GRIZZLE,
10
     (H-10106)
11
               Defendant.
12
13
14
15
16
17
               Reporter's transcript of proceedings on MOTIONS,
18
     in the above-entitled action, before the Hon. ROBERT W.
     WEIR, Judge, at the Del Norte County Courthouse, Crescent
19
20
     City, California, on February 5, 1999, reported by
21
     William C. Beard, RPR, CSR No. 10460
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

## APPEARANCES

## FOR THE PEOPLE:

ROBERT DROSSEL
District Attorney
County Courthouse
Crescent City, CA 95531

By: James Fallman, Deputy

## FOR THE DEFENDANT:

RUSSELL J. CLANTON Attorney at Law 725 8th Street, Suite D Arcata, CA 95521

talking to him, found out that they were both home boys 1 from the same Southern California Nazi Low Riders. 2 3 This man asked him: Do you want to move up? And Ridinger said: Yeah, I'd like to get in the tip. 4 5 And he said: Well, I'll talk to Littrell and we'll see what's up. 6 In other words, we'll see what could be done to 7 8 give you an opportunity. 9 THE COURT: Supposed to hit someone; he thought that meant stab them. Then his testimony was: No, that 10 means kill them. He wasn't expecting to be ordered to 11 kill somebody. So when he backed out, what was -- how 12 13 did that go after that? 14 MR. FALLMAN: He was in the hat with the A.B. 15 once he backed out, meaning that his life, he knew --16 THE COURT: And that was the reason he wanted to 17 testify? MR. FALLMAN: That and protective custody, 18 19 knowing that he was in the hat. 20 THE COURT: Well, as I look at their motive, the 21 motives of each of them, each of them has some kind of 22 slimy motive for wanting to testify, and I guess I'm 23 trying to conceive of how the defense could do any 24 better than that if they had this discovery that they 25 want. I mean, Healy commits an outright murder, admits 26 he lied under oath in a jury trial, and says, I murdered 27 my friend because this bunch of criminals told me to do 28

it, and they lied because they really should have all told me to do it, but they didn't all, only a few did, so I'm mad at them, so I decided to turn state's evidence.

I mean, you couldn't ask for more despicable reasons for him to testify. I mean, how low can you get? I mean, how much lower could you get him if you had this information you want? I mean, you couldn't get him any lower. He's at the bottom of the pit.

You look at Contreras. Contreras, who admits that he did things. Contreras' testimony was that they're going to kill that guy, so he was right in the thick of it, he knew what he was doing. In fact, if I remember, if I recollect, I even instructed the jury in the other trial, and I'll have to do it in this trial, too, that Contreras' testimony can't even be believed without corroboration because he's an accomplice, as a matter of law, to the murder. So you can't get any lower than Contreras. And there's nothing you can do to impeach him that would make him any lower than he already says he is. He took part in a murder, knowingly. I mean, that's Contreras.

And Ridinger who admits he wants to go -- he wants to be promoted from Nazi Low Rider up to the exalted status of Aryan Brotherhood. To do it, agrees to do bodily harm to some individual. And then, because I guess he doesn't want to get in trouble for a murder, then backs down and now says he has to testify to get

himself out of that jam. I mean, how despicable can you get? I mean, he's right down there, you know, and so I don't see that you can gain any extra attraction on these guys by having this information that you say you want. I mean, they are -- they are already at the bottom of the pit. And so --

MR. CLANTON: Your Honor, if I could have -THE COURT: So I'm wondering if there's any real
purpose in this exercise of dredging through these files
to have all about these gangs in what you, I think,
candidly say is regarded as water teeming with fish all
right, and that's an apt phrase, but I don't see that it
moves the ball any closer to the goal line for you.

MR. CLANTON: If I may just briefly, your Honor.

I know that Mr. Grizzle is very urgent about discussing

a matter with me, but I do have a response for the

Court, if I could just have a moment.

(Sotto voce conversation between Mr. Grizzle and Mr. Clanton.)

MR. CLANTON: Your Honor, in part, my response is -- is a reiteration of some of my comments yesterday, but I'll keep -- streamline them and try to summarize them. I'm not going to go through that again.

Certainly what the Court has said is absolutely true, that there are a number of despicable things that could be said about these individuals, and this jury's going to hear all that. But what I think is important for the Court to note is that what they're going to hear